



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,820	02/19/2002	Michael Bach	32860-000186/US	6263

30596 7590 05/20/2003
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O.BOX 8910
RESTON, VA 20195

EXAMINER

LEE, JINHEE J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2831

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/049,820

Applicant(s)

BACH ET AL.

Examiner

Jinhee J Lee

Art Unit

2831

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5,6.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

IDS paper no. 3 submitted 2/19/02 has not been considered. This IDS is missing from the file. Examiner requests resubmission of this IDS.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the outer cross section of claim 1, window opening on the connection bar of claim 1, fastener of claim 1 and thread of claims 5 and 15 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect may be deferred until after

the examiner has considered the proposed drawing correction. Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing correction will result in the abandonment of the application.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

At page 1 line 6, "geneally" is confusing. Examiner suggests, "generally" instead to clarify.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The limitation of "connecting bar is configured so as to be connectable to other similarly configured connecting bars" was not disclosed originally.

Art Unit: 2831

8. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "thickness being adapted to a respective nominal current" in lines 7-8. This is confusing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10. Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pritzen, Jr. (3584138).

Re claim 1, Pritzen, Jr. discloses a connecting bar ((housing 10 for example) comprising: an outer cross section (outer edges of 12 for example), and a window opening (at 33 for example) adapted to said outer cross section, the outer cross section being fastenable to a wall by a fastener, wherein the connecting bar is hollow (at 33 for example), with a similar outer cross section to other connecting bars (12 " for example), with a remaining wall thickness being adapted to a respective nominal current (see figures 1, 3 and 6).

Re claim 2, Pritzen, Jr. discloses a connecting bar, wherein the connecting bar includes only one cavity (see figure 1 for example).

Re claim 3, Pritzen, Jr. discloses a connecting bar, wherein the connecting bar includes a plurality of cavities (see figure 6 for example).

Re claim 4, Pritzen, Jr. discloses a connecting bar , wherein the connecting bar includes at least one bore (40 for example) for fastening purposes (see figure 1 and 6 for example).

Re claim 6, Pritzen, Jr. discloses a connecting bar , wherein the connecting bar includes at least two bores (40 for example) and wherein a bore in a connecting bar on an upper side and a bore on an underside are arranged in a common axis (see figure 1 for example).

Re claim 8, Pritzen, Jr. discloses a connecting bar , wherein the connecting bar includes a plurality of cavities, between which, at least one suitable web (250 for example) is included for fastening purposes (see figure 6 for example).

Re claim 10, Pritzen, Jr. discloses a connecting bar , wherein the at least one bore (40 for example) in the connecting bar is arranged in a web (see figure 1 for example).

Re claim 11, Pritzen, Jr. discloses a connecting bar , including at least one stop (ends 39 for example, see figure 1).

Re claim 13, Pritzen, Jr. discloses a connecting bar , wherein the connecting bar is configured so as to be connectable to other similarly configured connecting bars (see figure 1 for example).

Re claim 14, Pritzen, Jr. discloses a connecting bar , including a plurality of bores for fastening purposes (40 for example, see figure 1).

Art Unit: 2831

Re claim 16, Pritzen, Jr. discloses a connecting bar , including a plurality of webs, each between cavities (see figure 6).

Re claim 18, Pritzen, Jr. discloses a connecting bar , wherein the at least one bore (40 for example) in the connecting bar is arranged in a web (see figure 1 for example).

Re claim 19, Pritzen, Jr. discloses a connecting bar , including a plurality of stops for axial fixing (ends 39 for example, see figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

12. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

13. Claims 5 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pritzen, Jr. in view of Anderson et al. (4820178).

Re claim 5, Pritzen, Jr. substantially discloses a connecting bar as set forth in claim 4 with a bore. Pritzen, Jr. does not disclose that the bore is threaded. However, Anderson et al. teaches of a bore (26 for example) that is threaded (see figure 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the threaded bore of Anderson et al. on the connecting bar of Pritzen, Jr. in order to arrange plastic plug-in.

Re claim 15, Pritzen, Jr. substantially discloses a connecting bar as set forth in claim 4 with plurality of bores. Pritzen, Jr. does not disclose that the bores are threaded. However, Anderson et al. teaches of bores (26 for example) that are threaded (see figure 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the threaded bores of Anderson et al. on the connecting bar of Pritzen, Jr. in order to arrange plastic plug-in.

14. Claims 7, 9 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pritzen, Jr.

Re claim 7, Pritzen, Jr. substantially discloses a connecting bar as set forth in claim 4 with at least two bores. Pritzen, Jr. does not explicitly disclose a bore in a connecting bar on an upper side and a bore on an underside arranged offset with respect to one another. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use offset bores, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

Re claim 9, Pritzen, Jr. substantially discloses a connecting bar as set forth in claim 4 above. Pritzen, Jr. does not explicitly disclose a bore in a connecting bar arranged in the region of cavity. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use at least one bore arranged in the region of cavity, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

Re claim 17, Pritzen, Jr. substantially discloses a connecting bar as set forth in claim 8 above. Pritzen, Jr. does not explicitly disclose a bore in a connecting bar arranged in the region of cavity. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use at least one bore arranged in the region of cavity, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

15. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pritzen, Jr. in view of Giger, Jr. et al. (3609215).

Re claim 12, Pritzen, Jr. substantially discloses a connecting bar as set forth in claim 1 with the cavity arranged transversely with respect to a longitudinal extent of the connecting bar. Pritzen, Jr. does not disclose that the cavity is open on both sides. However, Giger, Jr. et al. teaches of a connecting bar that is open on both sides (see figure 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the connecting bar open on both sides of Giger, Jr. et al. on the connecting bar of Pritzen, Jr. in order to allow movement of bus bar sections.


Conclusion

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinhee Lee whose telephone number is 703-306-0154. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, Th, F at 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 703-308-3682. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

jil
May 12, 2003

 5/14/03
DEAN A. REICHARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800